

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5843
10/067,570		02/05/2002	Carl A. Schu	P-9201.02	
27581	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRON	NIC, INC	•	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
710 MEDTR MS-LC340	ONIC PA	ARKWAY NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LIS, MN	55432-5604	3762	·	
			DATE MAILED, 00/20/200		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	- J
		10/067,5	70	SCHU ET AL.	
Office .	Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit	
		George R	Evanisko	3762	
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, as specified above, the maximum statutory perion be set or extended period for reply will, by state of the Communication of the Communication.	N. t 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the stat iod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reputory minimum of thirty (ill expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this condoned NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication,
Status					
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	5 February 20	<u>92</u> .		
		his action is n			
	pplication is in condition for allow	wance except	for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in ac	cordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claim	s				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>17</i>	-24 is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	bove claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are withd		nsideration.		
<u> </u>	is/are allowed.				
	 - <u>-24</u> is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the Exam	iner			
•	(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by	v the Examiner.	
· ·	y not request that any objection to t				
	t drawing sheet(s) including the corr		_		FR 1.121(d).
	declaration is objected to by the			·	
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119				
<u></u>	ment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□	Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1.☐ Certif	ied copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received.		
2. Certif	ied copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received in Ap	plication No	
3.☐ Copie	es of the certified copies of the p	riority docume	ents have been r	eceived in this National	Stage
applic	cation from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		
* See the attac	hed detailed Office action for a l	list of the certi	fied copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References			4) Interview Su		
·	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ te <u>4/12/02</u> .		_ ` ` ` `	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office	e Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20040924

Application/Control Number: 10/067,570

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17-20, drawn to a sensing system, classified in class 600, subclass 508.
- II. Claims 21-24, drawn to a therapy system, classified in class 607, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the operating system to generate a therapy trigger signal. The subcombination has separate utility such as a pacemaker not requiring a memory circuit, but operating by itself for pacing the heart and not storing data.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Paul McDowell on 9/20/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 21-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,570

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The information disclosure statement filed 4/12/02 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the date of the "Clockless Logic Overview" reference has not been provided. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein regarding that reference has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/067,570

Art Unit: 3762

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaser (4202341). Blaser discloses the claimed invention having a cardiac sense electrode (the claimed physiological sensor), a signal processor of self timed logic elements in a chain to process the signal, elements 2, 3, and 4, an operating system of logic circuits to generate a therapy trigger signal, elements 5 and 6, and therapy delivery means, elements 10, 13, and 14, except for the operating system being at least one integrated circuit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pacer system as taught by Blaser, with the operating system being at least one integrated circuit since it was known in the art that pacemakers have circuits and operating systems be at least one integrated circuit to reduce power consumption, size, and capacitance.

Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaser.

Blaser discloses the claimed invention except for the sensor being electrodes, an activity sensor, or a blood pressure, temperature, pH, or gas concentration sensor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pacer system as taught by Blaser, with a sensor being electrodes, an activity sensor, or a blood pressure, temperature, pH, or gas concentration sensor since it was known in the art that pacer systems use a sensor being electrodes to provide a bipolar, localized signal from the particular organ so as not to receive interference from other signals in the body and since it was known in

Application/Control Number: 10/067,570 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

the art that pacer systems use an activity sensor or a blood pressure, temperature, pH, or gas concentration sensor to provide an alternate conventional sensor that senses the heart beating, a sensor that can be located in a different part of the body, and/or a signal to the pacer system to allow the system to determine when cardiac therapy is needed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R Evanisko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762
9/24/4

GRE September 24, 2004